NO. 81-2147-CFX	TITLE	Arizona, et al., Petitioners
(Vide: 81-2188)		v.
		San Carlos Apache Tribe of Arizona, et al.

DOCKETED	COURT
May 12, 1982	United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

DATE	PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS
RULE 19.4 case	
	Counsel for Petitioners: John B. Weldon, Jr.
	Counsel for Respondents: Solicitor General
May 12, 1982	Petition for writ of certiorari filed.
May 24, 1982	Order extending time to file a response to the petition until July 11, 1982. (FOR ALL RESPONDENTS).
June 22, 1982	Order further extending time for all respondents to file a response to the petition until July 21, 1982.
July 6, 1982	Brief, amicus curiae, of the State of South Dakota filed.
July 13, 1982	Brief, amicus curiae, of the State of Washington filed.
July 16, 1982	Brief, amici curiae, of the States of Idaho, North Dakota, et al. in support of petition filed.
July 19, 1982	Order extending time to file a response to the petition until Aug. 20, 1982.
July 20, 1982	Brief, amicus curiae, of the State of Wyoming filed.
Aug. 13, 1982	Brief for respondent Ft. McDowell Mohave-Apache Indian Community in opposition filed.
Aug. 18, 1982	Memorandum for the U.S. filed. (Also in 81-2188)
Aug. 20, 1982	Brief for the Navajo Nation in opposition filed.
Aug. 23, 1982	Brief of respondent Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community in opposition filed.
Aug. 24, 1982	Brief of respondents' San Carlos Apache Tribe of Arizona and Payson Community of Yavapai Apache Indians in opposition filed.
Aug. 25, 1982	DISTRIBUTED. SL21P1
Sept. 2, 1982	Reply brief for the petitioners filed. (D).
Oct. 4, 1982	Petition GRANTED. The case is consolidated with 81-2188 and a total of one and one-half hour is allotted for oral argument.

Nov. 18, 1982	Brief, amicus curiae, of the State of New Mexico in support of petitioners filed. (Vide: 81-2188).
	(Over)

81-2147

Title

No.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS
	2nd case: Arizona, et al., Petitioners v. Navajo Tribe of Indians, et al.
Nov. 19, 1982	Joint appendix filed. (Vide: 81-2188) (Volume 1).
Nov. 19, 1982	Brief for petitioners in 81-2147 filed. (Vide: 81-2188).
Nov. 18, 1982	Brief, amicus curiae, on Behalf of The Colorado River Water Conservation District and the Southwestern Water Conservation District in support of petitioners filed. (Vide: 81-2188).
Nov. 22, 1982	Joint appendix filed. (Vide: 81-2188). (Volume II).
Nov. 22, 1982	Brief for petitioner State of Montana, et al. filed. (Vide: 81-2188).
Nov. 22, 1982	Brief, amici curiae, of States of Washington, Utah and Idaho filed. (Vide: 81-2188).
Nov. 22, 1982	Brief, amicus curiae, of the State of Colorado filed. (Vide: 81-2188).
Nov. 22, 1982	Brief, amicus curiae, of the State of South Dakota filed.
Nov. 22, 1982	Brief, amicus curiae, of the State of Wyoming filed. (Vide: 81-2188).
Dec. 2, 1982	Motion of the Solicitor General for divided argument filed. (Also in 81-2188)
Dec. 5, 1982	Motion of petitioners for divided argument and for additional time for oral argument filed. (Also in 81-2188).
Dec. 3, 1982	Motion of Wyoming for leave to participate in oral argument as amicus curiae and for additional time for argument filed. (Also in 81-2188)
Dec. 6, 1982	Motion of petitioners in No. 81-2188 for additional time for oral argument filed. (In both cases).
Dec. 6, 1982	Motion of petitioners in No. 81-2188 for divided argument filed. (In both cases).
Dec. 8, 1982	Motion of petitioners in No. 81-2147 for review of Clerk's action granting respondents' request for an extension of time for filing briefs on the merits filed.
Dec. 9, 1982	DISTRIBUTED. 12-10. (Above motion).
Dec. 3, 1982	Order extending time to file the respondents' brief on the merits until Jan. 21, 1983.
Dec. 13, 1982	The motion of petitioners in No. 81-2147 for review of Clerk's action granting respondents' request for an extension of time for filing briefs on the merits is DENIED. The motion of the Solicitor General for divided argument is GRANTED. The motion of petitioners in No. 81-2188 for divided argument is GRANTED. (OVER).

NO. 81-2147-CFX | TITLE Arizona, et al., Petitioners,
v.
San Carlos Apache Tribe of Arizona, et al.

DOCKETED	COURT
May 12, 1982	United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

DATE	PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS
	The motion of petitioners in No. 81-2188 for additional time for oral argument is DENIED. The motion of petitioners in No. 81-2147 for divided argument is GRANTED and the request for additional time for oral argument is DENIED. The motion of Wyoming for leave to participate in oral argument as amicus curiae and for additional time for argument is DENIED.
Jan. 21, 1983	Brief of respondent, James Bowen filed. (Vide: 81-2188)
Jan. 21, 1983	Brief of respondent, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community filed. (Vide 81-2188)
Jan. 21, 1983	Brief of respondent, Navajo Nation filed. (Vide: 81-2188)
Jan. 21, 1983	Brief of respondent, Ft. McDowell Mohave-Apache Indian Community filed. (Vide: 81-2188)
Jan. 24, 1983	Brief of respondent Crow Tribe of Indians filed. (Vide: 81-2188)
Jan. 21, 1983	Brief of the U.S. filed. (Vide: 81-2188)
Jan. 25, 1983	Brief, amicus curiae, of the Jicarilla Apache Tribe in support of respondents filed. (Vide: 81-2188).
Jan. 26, 1983	Brief for respondent San Carlos Apache Indian Tribe filed. (Vide: 81-2188).
Feb. 15, 1983	CIRCULATED.
Feb. 25, 1983	Reply brief for petitioners in No. 81-2147 filed. (D). (Vide: 81-2188)
March 14, 1983	Reply brief for petitioners State of Montana, et al. in No. 81-2188 filed. (D). (Vide: 81-2188).
March 22, 1983	Motion of Blackfeet Indian Tribe to suspend all proceedings in this Court rec'd. (Also in 81-2188).
March 23, 1983	ARGUED.
Mar. 28, 1983	← Motion of the United States to file a brief after argument filed. (Also in 81-2188).
March 29, 1983	DISTRIBUTED. 4-1. (Above motion).
March 31, 1983	Motion of petitioners in No. 81-2147 for leave to file a response to the brief of the United States after argument filed. (NP).
March 31, 1983	DISTRIBUTED. 4-1. (Above motion).
March 31, 1983	Opposition to motion of the Solicitor General for leave to file a supplemental brief after argument filed by Montana. (D).

(Over)

81-2147

Title

No.

DATE	PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS
April 4, 1983	The motion of the Solicitor General for leave to file a supplemental brief, after argument, is GRANTED. The motion of petitioners in No. 81-2147 for leave to file a response to the supplemental brief, after argument, is GRANTED.
Apr. 14, 1983	Motion of petitioners in No. 81-2188 for leave to file a response to the memorandum of the United States after argument filed. (Also in 81-2188).
Apr. 14, 1983	Motion of respondent Navajo Nation in No. 81-2147 for leave to file a brief after argument filed. (NP). (Also in 81-2188).
Apr. 19, 1983	DISTRIBUTED. 4-22 (Above two motions).
Apr. 25, 1983	The motion of petitioners in No. 81-2188 for leave to file a response to the memorandum of the United States, filed after argument, is granted. The motion of respondent Navajo Nation in No. 81-2147 for leave to file a brief, after argument, is granted.
May 10, 1983	Motion of respondents San Carlos Apache Tribe of Arizona, et al. for leave to file a supplemental brief after argument filed. (Also in 81-2188).
May 16, 1983	DISTRIBUTED. 5-19. (Motion of respondents San Carlos Apache Tribe, et al. for leave to file a supplemental brief after argument).
May 23, 1983	The motion of respondents San Carlos Apache Tribe of Arizona, et al. for leave to file a supplemental brief after argument is GRANTED.
June 3, 1983	Motion of White Mountain Apache Tribe, et al. for leave to file memorandum after argument filed. (NP)
June 6, 1983	DISTRIBUTED. June 9, 1983. (Above motion).
June 13, 1983	DISTRIBUTED. 6-16. (Above motion).
June 14, 1983	Response of the Solicitor General to motion of White Mountain Apache Tribe filed.
July 1, 1983	The motion of the Blackfeet Tribe to suspend all proceedings in this Court rejecting the water rights of the Blackfeet Indian Tribe Browning, Montana, and to preclude the Solicitor General or any other attorney of the Department of Justice from purporting to represent that Tribe in these proceedings is denied. The motion of the White Mountain Apache Tribe and the Blackfeet Indian Tribe for leave to file a motion to dismiss for lack of in personam and subject matter jurisdiction in this Court over the state court water rights adjudication proceedings is denied. Treating the papers whereon the motion was submitted as a motion for leave to file a brief amicus curiae, and treating the accompanying papers as a brief amicus curiae, leave to file the brief is granted. Adjudged to be REVERSED and REMANDED. Dissenting opinion by Marshall, J. Dissenting opinion by Stevens, J., with whom Blackmun, J., joins.